

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | FILING DATE         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/691,818                                    | 10/23/2003          | Dan M. Ionel         | 010121-9939             | 6305             |  |
| 23409   | 7590 03/07/2006     |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
|   | L BEST & FRIEDRICH, | TAMAI,               | TAMAI, KARL I           |                  |  |
| 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE<br>MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 |                     |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   | ,                   |                      | 2834                    |                  |  |
|   | •                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| И | H' |
|---|----|
| , | ٠  |
|   |    |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/691,818      | IONEL ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Tamai I.E. Karl | 2834         |  |

|  | Advisory Action  | 10/691,818  | IONEL ET AL.   |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Bet  | fore the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tamai I.E. Karl   | 2834   |  |  |  |
|  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add   | ress   |  |  |
|  | E REPLY FILED 22 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ⊠ The i<br>this a<br>place<br>a Re                                  | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |   |  |  |  |  |
| . =  | a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| , — ı  | b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN  |   |  |  |  |  |
| -  | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |   |  |  |  |  |
| nave been f<br>under 37 Cl<br>set forth in c<br>may reduce<br>NOTICE C | of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of experience of the filed is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) FAPPEAL   | ktension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>er than three months after the mailing da<br>). | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, a | ate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as<br>even if timely filed, |  |  |
| filing   | Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com<br>the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br>tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br>ENTS  | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th  | s of the date of<br>e appeal. Since                                |  |  |
|  | proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,   | but prior to the date of filing a brief,  | , will not be entered be   | ecause   |  |  |
| (b) [  | They raise new issues that would require further conditions. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below they are not deemed to place the application in be   | ow);  |  | the issues for   |  |  |
| (d) 🖸  | appeal; and/or  They present additional claims without canceling a   |   | ected claims.  |  |  |  |
| 1 □ Tho  | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | mnliant Amendment (  | PTOL-324)  |  |  |
|  | olicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s   |   | impliant Americanient (  | 1 102-02-7).   |  |  |
| 3. 🔲 Nev   | vly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a allowable claim(s).  |   | timely filed amendme   | nt canceling the   |  |  |
| how<br>The   | . ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Clair<br>Clair   | n(s) objected to:<br>n(s) rejected: <u>1-15, 17-20, 31, 33-39, and 42-55</u> .   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | n(s) withdrawn from consideration: T OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The beca  | affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | ut before or on the date of filing a No<br>nd sufficient reasons why the affiday  | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u><br>vit or other evidence is                         | t be entered necessary and   |  |  |
| ente<br>shov   | affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing red because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ving a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper<br>ry and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fai<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                                    | ls to provide a<br>1).   |  |  |
|  | e affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | on of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach  | ied.   |  |  |
| 11. 🔲 The  | e request for reconsideration has been considered by   | ut does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar  | nce because:   |  |  |
|  | 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2/13/2006   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13. ∐ Oth  | er:  | KARL TAMAI<br>PRIMARY EXAMINEI  | R Dall h   |  |  |  |
|  |  |   | Tamai I.E. Karl<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 2834                              |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2834

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The first and second interfaces inhibiting outer radial movement of the ferromagnetic material of claims 1 and 33, the not magnetized portion of claim 19, and the new claims 56-63 are new issues requiring further search and consideration.